

Technical Specification MEF 45.0.1

Amendment to MEF 45: OVC Services Requirements for L2CP

August, 2017

Disclaimer

The information in this publication is freely available for reproduction and use by any recipient and is believed to be accurate as of its publication date. Such information is subject to change without notice and the MEF Forum (MEF) is not responsible for any errors. The MEF does not assume responsibility to update or correct any information in this publication. No representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the MEF concerning the completeness, accuracy, or applicability of any information contained herein and no liability of any kind shall be assumed by the MEF as a result of reliance upon such information.

The information contained herein is intended to be used without modification by the recipient or user of this document. The MEF is not responsible or liable for any modifications to this document made by any other party.

The receipt or any use of this document or its contents does not in any way create, by implication or otherwise:

- a) any express or implied license or right to or under any patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret rights held or claimed by any MEF member which are or may be associated with the ideas, techniques, concepts or expressions contained herein; nor
- b) any warranty or representation that any MEF members will announce any product(s) and/or service(s) related thereto, or if such announcements are made, that such announced product(s) and/or service(s) embody any or all of the ideas, technologies, or concepts contained herein; nor
- c) any form of relationship between any MEF members and the recipient or user of this document.

Implementation or use of specific MEF standards or recommendations and MEF specifications will be voluntary, and no member shall be obliged to implement them by virtue of participation in the MEF Forum. The MEF is a non-profit international organization to enable the development and worldwide adoption of agile, assured and orchestrated network services. The MEF does not, expressly or otherwise, endorse or promote any specific products or services.

© The MEF Forum 2017. All Rights Reserved.



Table of Contents

| 1 | Lis | List of Contributing Members | | | |
|---|-----|---|----|--|--|
| 2 | Ab | ostract | 1 | | |
| 3 | Ch | nanges to Section 8 of MEF 45 | 2 | | |
| 4 | Ch | nanges to Section 9 of MEF 45 | 2 | | |
| | 4.1 | Change to the first paragraph of section 9.1 in MEF 45 | 2 | | |
| | 4.2 | Changes to Table 8 of MEF 45 | | | |
| | 4.3 | Changes to Table 9 of MEF 45 | 3 | | |
| | 4.4 | Change to the fifth paragraph of section 9.2 in MEF 45 | 3 | | |
| | 4.5 | Change to the first paragraph of section 9.2.1 in MEF 45 | | | |
| 5 | Ch | nanges to Section 10 of MEF 45 | 4 | | |
| | 5.1 | Changes to Table 11 of MEF 45 | 4 | | |
| | 5.2 | Changes to Table 14 of MEF 45 | 4 | | |
| | 5.3 | Changes to Table 17 of MEF 45 | 4 | | |
| | 5.4 | Changes to Section 10.3 and 10.3.3 of MEF 45 | 5 | | |
| | 5.5 | Changes to Table 21 of MEF 45 | 6 | | |
| | 5.6 | Changes to Table 25 of MEF 45 | 6 | | |
| | 5.7 | Changes to Table 26 of MEF 45 | 6 | | |
| | 5.8 | Changes to Table 28 of MEF 45 | 7 | | |
| 6 | Ne | w sub-section in section 10 | 7 | | |
| 7 | Ch | nanges to Section 11 (References) in MEF 45 | 9 | | |
| | 7.1 | Changes resulting from updating the Section 11 References | 10 | | |

List of Contributing Members

The following Member companies of the MEF participated in the development of this document and have requested to be included in this list.

| Member Company |
|-------------------------------|
| Bell Canada |
| Ciena Corporation |
| Cisco Systems |
| Colt Technology Services |
| HFR, Inc. |
| PLDT Corp. Business Solutions |
| Verizon |

Abstract

This amendment makes the following changes to MEF 45:



- Adds a new subsection to section 10 to identify L2CP attributes necessary to support E-Access and E-Transit Services as defined in MEF 51.
- Replaces the UNI Tunnel Access (UTA) Service requirements in section 10.3.3
 with Feeder OVC requirements. This makes MEF 45 consistent with MEF 26.2
 which supersedes MEF 28 and replaces the UNI Tunnel Access Service with the
 Feeder OVC.
- Corrects the error in Table 6.
- Corrects broken cross references in sections 9 and 10.
- Adds MEF 51 OVC Services to the References in section 11, removes unnecessary references, and corrects other references.

3 Changes to Section 8 of MEF 45

Replace Table 6 of MEF 45 with the following:

| | L2CP Peering Service Attribute | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Protocol to be Peered (not part of L2CP Peering Service Attribute) | Protocol Identifier | L2CP Destination Address |
| Link Aggregation (LACP) | Ethertype: 0x8809 Subtypes: 01,02 | 01-80-C2-00-00-02 |
| Link OAM | Ethertype: 0x8809 Subtype: 03 | 01-80-C2-00-00-02 |
| E-LMI | Ethertype: 0x88EE | 01-80-C2-00-00-07 |
| Spanning Tree (RSTP/MSTP) | LLC Address: 0x42 | 01-80-C2-00-00-00 |

Table 6 – Example L2CP Peering Service Attribute

4 Changes to Section 9 of MEF 45

The subsections below describe minor corrections (e.g. to cross-references) within section 9 of MEF 45. Text to be added is shown in red with underscore; text to be removed is shown in red with strikethrough. Unchanged text is shown in black.

4.1 Change to the first paragraph of section 9.1 in MEF 45

The flow chart in Figure 6 specifies the action taken on a L2CP Frame at a UNI or VUNI L2CP Decision Point when the L2CP Address Set service attribute has a value of CTA or CTB. When the L2CP Address Set service attribute has a value of CTB-2 the actions taken at a UNI L2CP Decision Point are specified in 8.1.29.1.1.



4.2 Changes to Table 8 of MEF 45

| Protocol Type | Protocol Identifier | L2CP Destination Address | L2CP Action |
|--|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| STP [3]/ [4]RSTP [3] [4]/MS TP [4] [5] | LLC Address: 0x42 | 01-80-C2-00-00-00 | MUST Pass |
| E-LMI [9] [20] | Ethertype: 0x88EE | 01-80-C2-00-00-07 | MUST Pass ¹⁰ |
| LLDP [8] [1] | Ethertype: 0x88CC | 01-80-C2-00-00-0E | MUST Pass |
| PTP Peer Delay[13] ⁵ | Ethertype: 0x88F7 | 01-80-C2-00-00-0E | MUST Pass |
| GARP[4]/MRP [17][5] Block | any | 01-80-C2-00-00-20 through 01-80-C2-00-00-2F | MUST Pass |

Table 8 – EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing Requirements

4.3 Changes to Table 9 of MEF 45

| Protocol Type | Protocol Identifier | L2CP Destination Address | L2CP Action |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| PAUSE [5] [12] | Etherype: 0x8808 Subtype: 0x0001 | 01-80-C2-00-00-01 | SHOULD Discard |
| LACP/LAMP[5][2] | Ethertype: 0x8809 Subtypes: 0x01, 0x02 | 01-80-C2-00-00-02 | SHOULD Pass |
| Link OAM [5] [12] | Ethertype: 0x8809 Subtype: 0x03 | 01-80-C2-00-00-02 | SHOULD Pass |
| Port Authentication[7][11] | Ethertype: 0x888E | 01-80-C2-00-00-03 | SHOULD Pass |
| ESMC[14] ⁸ | Ethertype: 0x8809 Subtype: 0x0A | 01-80-C2-00-00-02 | SHOULD Pass ¹¹ |

Table 9 – EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing Recommendations

4.4 Change to the fifth paragraph of section 9.2 in MEF 45

The fourth decision block (D) determines whether the L2CP processing implementation at the ENNI supports 802.1 compliant processing of tagged L2CP Frames (see section [R18]8.3). If not then the frame is Passed.

4.5 Change to the first paragraph of section 9.2.1 in MEF 45

For a first example, consider the LLDP frame from the example in 7.1.19.1.2.



5 Changes to Section 10 of MEF 45

The subsections below describe minor corrections (e.g. to cross-references) within section 10 of MEF 45, as well as more substantive changes to section 10.3.3. Text to be added is shown in red with underscore; text to be removed is shown in red with strikethrough. Unchanged text is shown in black.

5.1 Changes to Table 11 of MEF 45

| UNI Service Attributes | EPL, EP-LAN, EP-Tree Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|---|
| L2CP Address Set | [R24] EPL Option 1 without EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing, EP-LAN, and EP-Tree: MUST be CTB [R25] EPL with EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing: |
| | MUST be CTB-2 |
| L2CP Peering | EPL-Option 1 without EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing, EP-LAN, and EP-Tree: No additional constraints from section 7.2 |
| | [D5] EPL with EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing: The UNI L2CP Peering attribute SHOULD NOT have any protocols listed to be Peered. |

Table 11 - UNI L2CP Service Attributes for Ethernet Private Services

5.2 Changes to Table 14 of MEF 45

| ENNI Service Attributes | Access EVPL Service type Requirement |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section [R18]8.3 |

Table 14 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for Access EVPL

5.3 Changes to Table 17 of MEF 45

| ENNI Service Attributes | Access EPL Service type Requirement |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section [R18]8.3 |

Table 17 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for Access EPL



5.4 Changes to Section 10.3 and 10.3.3 of MEF 45 10.3 Access EVPL, Access EPL and UTA Service Feeder OVC Requirements

10.3.3 UNI Tunnel Access (UTA) Service Feeder OVC Requirements

The Service Attribute and Parameter requirements pertaining to L2CP for UTA services Feeder OVC [24] are shown in Table 18, Table 19, and Table 20. When a Point-to-Point OVC is intended to be used as a Feeder OVC, it is the responsibility of the Service Provider or Super Operator [24] to order an OVC meeting the Feeder OVC requirements.

| UNI Service Attributes | UTA Feeder OVC Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|--|
| | [R31] MUST be CTBCTA when not all CE- VLAN IDs map to the same OVC End Point |
| | This is a consequence of [R5]. |
| L2CP Address Set | [R1A] MUST be CTB when all CE-VLAN IDs map to the same OVC End Point |
| | Note that [R9] requires the UNI L2CP Address Set Attribute to be the same value as the OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute in Table 19. |
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |

Table 18 – UNI L2CP Service Attributes for UTA Feeder OVC

| OVC Service Attributes | UTA Service type Feeder OVC Requirement |
|---------------------------|--|
| L2CP Address Set | [R32] MUST be CTB or CTA when there is an OVC End Point at a UNI. Note that [R9] requires OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute to be the same value as the UNI L2CP Address Set Attribute in Table 18 when there is an OVC End Point at the UNI. [R2A] MUST be CTB when all OVC End Points are at an ENNI. |

Table 19 – OVC L2CP Service Attributes for UTA Feeder OVC



| ENNI Service Attributes | UTA Service type Feeder OVC Requirement |
|----------------------------|---|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP | |
| Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section [R18]8.3 |

Table 20 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for UTA Feeder OVC

5.5 Changes to Table 21 of MEF 45

| VUNI Service Attributes | VUNI Service type Requirement |
|----------------------------|--|
| | [R33] MUST be CTB when the VUNI supports an EPL, EP-LAN, or EP-TREE. |
| L2CP Address Set | [R34] MUST be CTA when the VUNI is supporting EVPL, EVP-LAN, or EVP-TREE service(s). |
| | Note that [R9] requires this the VUNI L2CP Address Set Attribute to be the same value as the OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute in Table 22Table 19. |
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |

Table 21 - VUNI L2CP Service Attributes for VUNI Service

5.6 Changes to Table 25 of MEF 45

| ENNI Service Attributes | vNID Case A Service type Requirement |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section [R18]8.3 |

Table 25 - ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for vNID Case A

5.7 Changes to Table 26 of MEF 45



| UNI Service Attributes | vNID Case B Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|--|
| L2CP Address Set | [R40] MUST be CTB or CTB-2 |
| | Note that [R9] requires this to be the same value as the OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute in <u>Table 27Table 13</u> . |
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |

Table 26 – UNI L2CP Service Attributes for vNID Case B

5.8 Changes to Table 28 of MEF 45

| vNID Case B Service type Requirement |
|---|
| No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| No additional constraints from section [R18]8.3 |
| |

Table 28 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for vNID Case B

6 New sub-section in section 10

The following is a new section 10.6 to be added to MEF 45:

10.6 E-Access and E-Transit Service Requirements

The L2CP requirements in 10.6.1 and 10.6.2 apply to the E-Access and E-Transit Services defined in MEF 51 OVC Services Definitions [X1].

Note that it is the responsibility of the Service Provider or Super Operator [24] to order an OVC appropriate for the requirements of the EVC. MEF 26.2 [24] Appendix H Tables 52, 54, and 55 describe the relationship of the L2CP Service Attributes when a Service Provider provides an EVC to a Subscriber, and implements the EVC using a number of OVCs purchased from underlying Operators.

10.6.1 Access E-Line and Access E-LAN Service Requirements

The Service Attribute and Parameter requirements pertaining to L2CP for Access E-Line and Access E-LAN services are shown in Table A-1, Table A-2, and Table A-3.



| UNI Service Attributes | Access E-Line, Access E-LAN Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|---|
| L2CP Address Set | [R3A] MUST be CTA when not all CE-VLAN IDs map to the same OVC End Point |
| | This is a consequence of [R5]. |
| | [R4A] MUST be CTB or CTB-2 when all CE-VLAN IDs map to the same OVC End Point |
| | Note that [R9] requires the UNI L2CP Address Set Attribute to be the same value as the OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute in Table A-2. |
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |

Table A-1 – UNI L2CP Service Attribute for Access E-Line, Access E-LAN

| OVC Service Attributes | Access E-Line, Access E-LAN Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|---|
| L2CP Address Set | [R5A] MUST be CTB-2 when the UNI-to-UNI service is EPL with EPL Option 2 L2CP Processing. |
| | Note that [R9] requires the OVC L2CP Address Set Attribute to be the same value as the UNI L2CP Address Set Attribute in Table A-1. |

Table A-2 – OVC L2CP Service Attributes for Access E-Line, Access E-LAN

| ENNI Service Attributes | Access E-Line, Access E-LAN Service type Requirement |
|----------------------------|--|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP | |
| Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section 8.3 |

Table A-3 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for Access E-Line, Access E-LAN

Note that [R4A] and [R5A] allow the UNI and OVC L2CP Address Set for an Access EPL service to be CTB-2 even when the end-to-end service is not EPL with EPL Option 2 L2CP processing, however this can compromise proper operation of many protocols, including LACP, LLDP, Link-OAM, E-LMI, PTP Peer Delay and ESMC.

10.6.2 Transit E-Line and Transit E-LAN Service Requirements



The Service Attribute and Parameter requirements pertaining to L2CP for Transit E-Line and Transit E-LAN services are shown in Table A-4 and Table A-5

| OVC Service Attributes | Transit E-Line, Transit E-LAN Service type Requirement |
|---------------------------|--|
| L2CP Address Set | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |

Table A-4 – OVC L2CP Service Attributes for Transit E-Line, Transit E-LAN

| ENNI Service Attributes | Transit E-Line, Transit E-LAN Service type Requirement |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| L2CP Peering | No additional constraints from section 8.2 |
| ENNI Tagged L2CP Frame Processing | No additional constraints from section 8.3 |

Table A-5 – ENNI L2CP Service Attributes for Transit E-Line, Transit E-LAN

7 Changes to Section 11 (References) in MEF 45

In this section, text to be added is shown in red with underscore; text to be removed is shown in red with strikethrough. Unchanged text is shown in black.

Delete the following references:

- [21] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 20, User Network Interface (UNI) Type 2 Implementation Agreement, July 2008.
- [22] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 22.1, Mobile Backhaul Phase 2, January 2012.
- [23] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 23.1, Carrier Ethernet Class of Service Phase 2, January 2012.
- [25] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 28, External Network Network Interface (ENNI) Support for UNI Tunnel Access and Virtual UNI, October 2010.
- [26] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 30, Service OAM Fault Management Implementation Agreement, January 2011.
- [28] Metro Ethernet Forum MEF 35, Service OAM Performance Monitoring Implementation Agreement, April 2012.

Modify the following references as shown:



- [5] IEEE Std 802.1Q 2011, IEEE Standards for Local and metropolitan area networks—Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges and Virtual Bridge Local Area Networks, 7 May 200331 August, 2011.
- [12] IEEE Std 802.3—20122015, *IEEE Standard for Ethernet*, 28 December 20123 September 2015. (Normative)
- [14] International Telecommunication Union, Recommendation G.8264—
 20082014, Distribution of Timing Information Through Packet Networks,
 27 March 2008May 2014, Annex F
- [16] Metro Ethernet MEF Forum, MEF 4, Metro Ethernet Network Architecture Framework: Part 1 Generic Framework, May 2004.
- [17] Metro Ethernet MEF Forum, MEF 6.12, Ethernet Services Definitions Phase 23, April 2008 August 2014.
- [18] Metro EthernetMEF Forum, MEF 6.1.1, Layer 2 Control Protocol Handling Amendment to MEF 6.1, January 2012.
- [19] Metro EthernetMEF Forum, MEF 10.3, Ethernet Services Attributes Phase 3, October 2013.
- [20] Metro Ethernet MEF Forum, MEF 16, Ethernet Lcal Management Interface (E-LMI), January 2006.
- [24] Metro Ethernet MEF Forum, MEF 26.12, External Network Network Interface (ENNI) Phase 23, January 2012 August 2016.
- [27] Metro EthernetMEF Forum, MEF 33, Ethernet Access Services Definition, January 2012.

Add the following reference to the end of the list:

[X1] MEF Forum, MEF 51, OVC Services Definition, August 2015.

7.1 Changes resulting from updating the Section 11 References

In keeping with above changes to the Section 11 References:

Change the first sentence of the second paragraph in MEF 45 Section 3 as shown: "Terms defined in MEF 4 [16], MEF 6.2 [17], MEF 10.3 [19], and MEF 26.126.2 [24] are included in this document by reference and, hence, not repeated in table below."

Change the second sentence of the second paragraph in MEF 45 Section 5 as shown: "The model includes the attributes and basic requirements for passing, peering, or discarding L2CP Frames at a UNI [19], VUNI [25][24], and ENNI [24], as well as detailed peering requirements for selected protocols and/or services."



Change the last sentence of the first bullet in MEF 45 Section 7 as shown:

"In some cases it might be the responsibility of the operator with the ingress UNI, but in a scenario with a UNI Tunnel Access (UTA) service, for example, it might make more sense for it to be the responsibility of the operator with the VUNI [25][24]."

Change the first sentence after [R13] in MEF 45 Section 8.2.1 as shown:

"MEF 26.1 requires Peering LACP when When there are two or more physical links at the ENNI using Link Aggregation as the protection mechanism, Peering LACP is required."

Change the first sentence of MEF 45 Section B.1.2 as shown:

"When there are two or more physical links at the ENNI using Link Aggregation as the protection mechanism, Peering LACP is required MEF 26.1 requires peering of LACP on physical links for an ENNI with two links. In this case LACP is listed as being Peered in the ENNI L2CP Peering Service Attribute."

Change the first sentence of MEF 45 Section B.1.5 as shown:

"When there are two physical links at a UNI or ENNI using Link Aggregation MEF 10.3 and MEF 26.1 require that LACP at a UNI or ENNI operates in active/standby mode. IEEE Std 802.1AX will designate links in an aggregation as active or standby when device limitations prevent all links from being active simultaneously, however. However there is no standard management object that allows an operator to explicitly configure active/standby operation."